



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| Ĺ | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | 09/991,978 11/26/2001 | | Yun-Peng Huang | 33154-176173 | 5332 |
| | 26694 | 7590 08/10/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| | VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP | | | LEWIS, PATRICK T | |
| | P.O. BOX 343 | - - | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1623 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | A 11 | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/991,978 | HUANG ET AL. | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Patrick T. Lewis | 1623 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to a | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RI | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of ce later than three months after the mailing the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for reply of the later than three months after the mailing the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period statutory period for the shortened statut | g date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension and the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI | Brief must be filed within the pe | riod set forth in the appeal. | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | | | | |
| | | ee NOTE below): | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | |
| (c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in | • | ially raduaing or aimplifying the | | | |
| issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE: | ng a corresponding number of fir | nally rejected claims. | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | reconsideration has been consideration Sheet. | lered but does NOT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | issues which were newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | (s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[ould be rejected is provided below | ☐ will be entered and an vor appended. | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: None. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: None. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,12-20,26,27 and 30-36</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 28 and 29. | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr | oved or b) disapproved by th | e Examiner | | | |
| | lote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| 0. Other: | | JAMES O. WILSON ERVISORY PATENTÆXAMINER | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Carboxymethylcellulose and sodium starch glycolate, polysaccharides with water-absorbing properties (i.e. containing -CH2-O-R-COO-A+ moieties), are known as inert pharmaceutical excipients. Gala teaches methods to remove residual solvent alcohols without adverse affects to the drug by adding a small amount of water. Gala teaches that when the solvent content is from between about 20% to 1.5% w/w of the original amount, approximately 0.1% to about 5.0%, preferably about 2.1% water is added to the system which continues to run until nearly all the solvent(s) is removed. With subsequent drying, the amount of alcohol present in the drug carrier blend can be reduced to 0.1% [1000 ppm] or less.